arXiv:0912.3041v1 [math.FA] 16 Dec 2009

AN INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR FEYNMAN'S OPERATIONAL CALCULUI

LANCE NIELSEN

Abstract. In this paper we develop an integral equation satisfied by Feynman's operational calculi in formalism of B. Jefferies and G. W. Johnson. In particular a "reduced" disentangling is derived and an evolution equation of DeFacio, Johnson, and Lapidus is used to obtain the integral equation. After the integral equation is presented, we show that solutions to the heat and Schrodinger's equation can be obtained from the reduced disentangling and its integral equation. We also make connections between the Jefferies and Johnson development of the operational calculi and the analytic Feynman integral.

1. Introduction

Feynman's operational calculus, originating with publication of the paper [2], concerns itself with the formation of functions of noncommuting operators. Indeed, even with functions as simple as $f(x, y) = xy$, there is an ambiguity present in evaluating $f(A, B)$ if A and B do not commute. One is then left with the problem of deciding, typically with a particular problem in mind, how best to form a given function of noncommuting operators. One method of dealing with this problem is to use the so-called Feynman indices. That is, given operators A and B, assign them indices. Then, no matter how the product of A and B is written, the operator with the smaller index acts first (to the right) of the operator with the larger index. Hence, for example, given the product $\overset{1}{A}\overset{4}{B}$, we can rewrite this as $\overset{4}{B}\overset{1}{A}$ since the index of A $(= 1)$ is smaller than the index of B $(=4)$. Indeed, this is an approach to the operational calculus taken by Maslov in [12] and by Nasikinski, Shatalov, and Sternin in [13].

In this paper we will follow the approach to the operational calculus originated by Jefferies and Johnson in the series of papers [4, 5, 6, 7] and expanded on in [8], [9], [10] and others. In this approach to the calculus, the order of operators in products is determined by the use of measures on intervals $[0, T]$. One can use continuous measures as was done originally by Jefferies and Johnson or one can use a mixture of continuous and discrete measures, see [10]. We will use continuous measures in what follows.

All of this being said, the question remains as to how one can use measures to determine the order of operators in products. We will start with a statement of Feynman's heuristic rules for the formation of functions of noncommuting operators.

(1) Attach time indices to the operators to specify the order of operators in products.

A substantial part of the research for this article was supported by the KRA grant (NSF 0354281) at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln in May, 2006.

(2) With time indices attached, form functions of these operators by treating them as though they were commuting.
(3) Finally, "disentangle" the resulting expressions; that is, restore the conventional ordering of the operators.

As is well known, the central problem of the operational calculus is the disentangling process. In his 1951 paper, [2], Feynman points out that "The process is not always easy to perform and, in fact, is the central problem of this operator calculus."

We first address rule (1) above. This is, in fact, where we will see measures coming into play. We first note that the operators involved may come with time indices naturally attached. This is the case, for example, with operators of multiplication by time-dependent potentials, and also in connection with the Heisenberg representation in quantum mechanics. However, it is also commonly the case that the operators used are independent of time. Given such an operator A, one can (as Feynman most often did) attach time indices according to Lebesgue measure as follows:

$$A = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t A(s)\,ds$$

where $A(s) := A$ for $0 \le s \le t$. While this device appears somewhat artificial, it is extremely useful in many situations. Also, it is worth noting that mathematical or physical considerations may dictate that one use a measure different from Lebesgue measure in a given situation. For example, if μ is a probability measure on the interval $[0,T]$, and if A is a linear operator, we may write

$$A = \int_{[0,T]} A(s)\,\mu(ds)$$

where again $A(s) := A$ for $0 \le s \le T$. Writing A in this fashion allows us to use the time variable s to keep track of when the operator A acts. Indeed, consider two operators A and B and the product $A(s)B(t)$ (time indices have been attached). If $s < t$, we have $A(s)B(t) = BA$ and if $t < s$, $A(s)B(t) = AB$; the operator with the smaller or earlier time index acts before or to the right of an operator with a larger or later time index. (We stress here that these equalities are heuristic in nature.) For a more detailed discussion of using measures to attach time indices to operators, see the book [11].

Remark 1.1. It is worth mentioning that using Dirac point mass measures to attach time indices to the operators involved amounts to the use of the aforementioned Feynman indices.

Concerning rules (2) and (3), we remark that, once time indices are attached (so that an order of operation is specified), one can calculate functions of the noncommuting operators by treating them as if they actually do commute. Of course, such calculations are heuristic in nature but the idea is that with time indices attached, one carries out the necessary calculations giving no thought to the operator ordering problem; the time indices will enable us to restore the desired ordering of the operators once the calculations are finished – this is the disentangling process.

The main subject of this article is to use the operational calculus as put down by Jefferies and Johnson to derive an integral equation satisfied by fully disentangled (or time ordered) operator expressions, at least in a particular setting. Of critical importance in our derivation will be the evolution equation found by DeFacio, Johnson, and Lapidus in [1] (it can also be found in [11], Chapter 19). In its initial form, the equation we will obtain will be rather general. We will find, on the way to this equation, that the disentangled operator we require will be somewhat different from the disentangling obtained by Jefferies and Johnson. However, the difference will be that the disentangled expression which will enable us to use the previously mentioned evolution equation will contain fewer terms than the "standard" disentangled expression one gets from Jefferies' and Johnson's formalism.

Once we obtain our integral equation we will consider several (related) examples showing that the equation can be used to obtain solutions to the heat equation and Schrodinger's equation. We will also use the integral equation to establish some connections between disentangled operators obtained in the spirit of Jefferies and Johnson and the analytic Feynman integral defined using the Wiener integral. Both time independent and time dependent potentials will be considered as well. (See Chapters 13 and 15 of [11] for a very clear and detailed discussion of the analytic Feynman integral.)

2. The disentangling map

Before the derivation of the integral equation, we define the disentangling map in the time dependent setting. (The definition is essentially identical in the time independent setting.) In doing this we follow the initial definitions set out in [14] and [8].

Remark 2.1. As the reader will note, the algebras of functions defined below are referred to as Banach algebras. We will not prove this assertion here but will instead refer the reader to the paper [4] where the proof is carried out for the time independent setting. However, as noted in [14] and [8], the proof of this fact for the time dependent setting is the same.

Remark 2.2. We will assume throughout this section that the Banach space X is separable.

Definition 1. Fix $T > 0$. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, let $A_i : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ be maps that are measurable in the sense that $A_i^{-1}(E)$ is a Borel set in $[0,T]$ for any strong operator open set $E \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$. To each A_i we associate a finite nonnegative continuous Borel measure μ_i on $[0,T]$ and require that, for each i,

$$r_i = \int_{[0,T]} \|A_i(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \mu_i(ds) < \infty. \tag{1}$$

We define, as in [4], [8], and [14], the commutative Banach algebra $\mathbb{A}_T(r_1, \ldots, r_k)$ of functions f of k complex variables that are analytic on the open polydisk $\{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) : |z_i| < r_i,\, i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ and such that the power series for $f(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ converges on $\{(z_1, \ldots, z_k) : |z_i| = r_i,\, i = 1 \ldots, k\}$. (We emphasize that the weights we are using here depend on the operator-valued functions as well as on T and on the measures.) The norm for this Banach algebra is defined to be

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{A}_T} = \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_k=0}^{\infty} |a_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}| r_1^{m_1} \cdots r_k^{m_k} \tag{2}$$

where we use the Taylor series for f:

$$f(z_1,\ldots,z_k) = \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_k=0}^{\infty} a_{m_1,\ldots,m_k} z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_k^{m_k}. \tag{3}$$

In the next definition we define the *disentangling algebra*.

Definition 2. To the algebra $\mathbb{A}_T$ we associate, as in [4], [8], and [14], a *disentangling algebra* by creating formal commuting objects $(A_i(\cdot),\mu_i)^{\sim}$, $i=1,\ldots,k$. (These objects play the role of the indeterminants $z_1,\ldots,z_k$.) We define the disentangling algebra $\mathbb{D}_T\left((A_1,\mu_1)^{\sim},\ldots,(A_k,\mu_k)^{\sim}\right)$ to be the collection of functions of the new indeterminants with the same properties as the elements of the algebra defined in Definition 1. However, rather than using the notation $(A_j,\mu_j)^{\sim}$ below, we will often abbreviate to $A_j(\cdot)^{\sim}$, especially when carrying out calculations. The norm for $\mathbb{D}_T$ is the same as that defined in (2) for the Banach algebra $\mathbb{A}_T$ though we will refer to it as $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}_T}$ if a distinction needs to be made.

It is not hard to show that $\mathbb{A}_T$ and $\mathbb{D}_T$ are commutative Banach algebras which are isomorphic to one another (see Propositions 1.1 - 1.3 in [4]).

For each $t\in[0,T]$ we now turn to the definition of the disentangling map

$$\mathcal{T}^t_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k} : \mathbb{D}_T\left((A_1(\cdot),\mu_1)^{\sim},\ldots,(A_k(\cdot),\mu_k)^{\sim}\right)\to\mathcal{L}(X). \tag{4}$$

This will be done exactly as in [4], [8], and [14]. In order to state the next definition, which gives the action of the disentangling map on monomials, we must first introduce some notation. (This notation is essentially the same as used in [4], [8], and [14].) For a nonnegative integer n and a permutation $\pi\in S_n$, the set of all permutations of $1,\ldots,n$, we define subsets $\Delta_n^t(\pi)$ of $[0,t]^n$ by

$$\Delta_n^t(\pi) = \left\{(s_1,\ldots,s_n)\in[0,t]^n : 0<s_{\pi(1)}<\cdots<s_{\pi(n)}<t\right\}. \tag{5}$$

We next define, for nonnegative integers $n_1,\ldots,n_k$ and a permutation $\pi\in S_n$ with $n := n_1+\cdots+n_k$,

$$\tilde{C}_{\pi(i)}(s_{\pi(i)}) = \begin{cases} A_1(s_{\pi(i)})^{\sim}, & \text{if } \pi(i)\in\{1,\ldots,n_1\},\\ A_2(s_{\pi(i)})^{\sim}, & \text{if } \pi(i)\in\{n_1,\ldots,n_1+n_2\},\\ \vdots & \\ A_k(s_{\pi(i)})^{\sim}, & \text{if } \pi(i)\in\{n_1+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1,\ldots,n\}.\end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Remark 2.3. If the measures $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k$ are all probability measures it is straight forward to show that

$$(A_1(\cdot)^{\sim})^{n_1}\cdots(A_k(\cdot)^{\sim})^{n_k} = \sum_{\pi\in S_n}\int_{\Delta_n^t(\pi)} \tilde{C}_{\pi(n)}(s_{\pi(n)})\cdots\tilde{C}_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})\left(\mu_1^{n_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_k^{n_k}\right)(ds_1,\ldots,ds_n). \tag{7}$$

This result is essential for the definition of the disentangling map.

Now, for every $t\in[0,T]$, we define the action of the disentangling map on monomials.

Definition 2.1. Let $P_t^{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A_1(\cdot)^\sim,\ldots,A_k(\cdot)^\sim)=(A_1(\cdot)^\sim)^{n_1}\cdots(A_k(\cdot)^\sim)^{n_k}$. We define the action of the disentangling map on this monomial by (see Remark 2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{T}^t_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k}P_t^{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A_1(\cdot)^\sim,\ldots,A_k(\cdot)^\sim)\\&=\mathcal{T}^t_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k}\left((A_1(\cdot)^\sim)^{n_1}\cdots(A_k(\cdot)^\sim)^{n_k}\right)\\&:=\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\int_{\Delta_n^t(\pi)}C_{\pi(n)}(s_{\pi(n)})\cdots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})\,(\mu_1^{n_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_k^{n_k})\,(ds_1,\ldots,ds_n)\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where the notation is as defined in (6) except that here we omit the tildes and consequently obtain the appropriate operator-valued functions in place of the formal commuting objects.

Finally, for $f\in\mathbb{D}_T\left((A_1(\cdot),\mu_1)^\sim,\ldots,(A_k(\cdot),\mu_k)^\sim\right)$ written as

$$f\left(A_1(\cdot)^\sim,\ldots,A_k(\cdot)^\sim\right)=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k=0}^{\infty}c_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}(A_1(\cdot)^\sim)^{n_1}\cdots(A_k(\cdot)^\sim)^{n_k}\tag{9}$$

we define the action of the disentangling map on f by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{T}^t_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k}f\left(A_1(\cdot)^\sim,\ldots,A_k(\cdot)^\sim\right)\\&=:f_{t;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k}\left(A_1(\cdot)^\sim,\ldots,A_k(\cdot)^\sim\right)\\&=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k=0}^{\infty}c_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}\mathcal{T}^t_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k}P_t^{n_1,\ldots,n_k}\left(A_1(\cdot)^\sim,\ldots,A_k(\cdot)^\sim\right).\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Remark 2.4. As is shown in [14] and [8], the disentangling map is a linear contraction from the disentangling algebra into the noncommutative Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on the Banach space X. This differs somewhat from the time independent setting of [4] where the disentangling map (defined exactly as above) is shown to be a norm one contraction. As remarked in [14] and [8], it is the presence of time dependent $\mathcal{L}(X)$ - valued functions that causes the map to be a contraction not necessarily of norm one.

Next we define, using the sets $\Delta_n^t(\pi)$ defined above, a set of permutations that will be very useful below. Let $n_1,\ldots,n_k$ be nonnegative integers and consider the sets $\Delta_{n_1}^t,\ldots,\Delta_{n_k}^t$ (where $\Delta_j^t:=\Delta_j^t(id)$ with "id" being the identity permutation). Write, for each $\Delta_{n_j}^t$,

$$\Delta_{n_j}^t=\left\{(s_{j,1},\ldots,s_{j,n_j})\in[0,t]^{n_j}:0<s_{j,1}<\cdots<s_{j,n_j}<t\right\}.\tag{11}$$

(We therefore have k "blocks" of completely time–ordered n_j - tuples, $j=1,\ldots,k$.) The set of permutations that we want are those permutations σ of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ that preserve the ordering of each block $s_{j,1},\ldots,s_{j,n_j}$. We will denote this set of permutations by $\mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$. To be more specific, we require each $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$ to preserve the order of the integers $n_1+\cdots+n_{j-1}+1,\ldots,n_1+\cdots+n_{j-1}+n_j$ in the list $\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\ldots,\sigma(n)$ though these integers *do not* have to appear consecutively in this list. In other words, the permutation σ preserves the ordering in each block of time indices while at the same time putting the union of all the blocks of indices in the correct time order. The cardinality of this set of permutations is

$$card(\mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k})=\frac{(n_1+\cdots+n_k)!}{n_1!\cdots n_k!}.$$

Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$, we let

$$(12)\quad \begin{aligned}&(\Delta^t_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^t_{n_k})(\sigma)\\ &\quad = \left\{(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \in \Delta^t_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^t_{n_k} : 0 < t_{\sigma(1)} < \cdots < t_{\sigma(n)} < t\right\}\end{aligned}$$

and note that, up to a set of measure zero (since we're using continuous measures),

$$\Delta^t_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^t_{n_k} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}} (\Delta^t_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^t_{n_k})(\sigma). \tag{13}$$

A proof of this equality can be found in [11].

It is easy to show that the disentangling map can be written using a sum over $\mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$ in place of the sum over S_n (see Proposition 2.5 of [4]). Indeed, for $f \in \mathbb{D}_T$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{T}^t_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k} f(\tilde{A_1},\ldots,\tilde{A_k}) =\\ &\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k=0}^{\infty} c_{n_1,\ldots,n_k} n_1! \cdots n_k! \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}} \int_{(\Delta^t_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^t_{n_k})(\sigma)} \cdot\\ &C_{\sigma(n)}(s_{\sigma(n)}) \cdots C_{\sigma(1)}(s_{\sigma(1)}) (\mu_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_k^{n_k})(ds_1,\ldots,ds_n).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

A more complete discussion of this set of permutations, with examples, can be found in [1] or in [11].

3. The Integral Equation

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Let $A_i : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$, be measurable in the sense of Definition 1. To each A_i associate a continuous nonnegative Borel measure μ_i on $[0,T]$ and assume that

$$r_i := \int_{[0,T]} \|A_i(s)\| \, \mu_i(ds) < \infty \tag{15}$$

for each i. Assume as well that $A_i(s)A_i(t) = A_i(t)A_i(s)$ for each i.

Remark 3.1. We do *not*, however, assume that $A_i(s)A_j(t) = A_j(t)A_i(s)$ if $i \neq j$.

Finally, assume that the linear operator $-\alpha : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ generates a (C_0) contraction semigroup of linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

Using the nonnegative real numbers $r_1,\ldots,r_n$ we construct the commutative Banach algebra $\mathbb{A}_T(r_1,\ldots,r_n)$. Let $g \in \mathbb{A}_T(r_1,\ldots,r_n)$ and define

$$f(z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_n) = e^{z_0} g(z_1,\ldots,z_n). \tag{16}$$

Write

$$g(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty} g_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_n^{m_n}. \tag{17}$$

Associating Lebsegue measure l with $-\alpha$ we have, using Definition 4.1 of [8],

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{T}^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n} f\left(-\alpha^{\sim},\, A_1(\cdot)^{\sim}, \ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)^{\sim}\right) := f^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\left(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot), \ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)\right) = \\ &\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty} g_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \sum_{\pi\in S_m} \int_{\Delta^t_m(\pi)} e^{-(t-s_{\pi(m)})\alpha} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)})\cdots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)}) e^{-s_{\pi(1)}\alpha} \\ &\qquad\qquad (\mu_1^{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_n^{m_n})\,(ds_1,\ldots,\, ds_m) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

as the disentangling out to time $t \in [0,\, T]$. We can write this expression as (see above or Proposition 2.5 of [4])

$$\begin{aligned} &f^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\left(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot), \ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)\right) = \\ &\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty} g_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} m_1!\cdots m_n! \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}} \int_{(\Delta^t_{m_1}\times\cdots\times\Delta^t_{m_n})(\pi)} e^{-(t-s_{\pi(m)})\alpha} \\ &\qquad C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)})\cdots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)}) e^{-s_{\pi(1)}\alpha}\,(\mu_1^{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_n^{m_n})\,(ds_1,\ldots,\, ds_m) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In particular we may write

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\left(-t\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{[0,t]} A_j(s)\,\mu_j(ds)\right) = \\ &\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}} \int_{(\Delta^t_{m_1}\times\cdots\times\Delta^t_{m_n})(\pi)} e^{-(t-s_{\pi(m)})\alpha} C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)})\cdots \\ &\qquad C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)}) e^{-s_{\pi(1)}\alpha}\,(\mu_1^{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_n^{m_n})\,(ds_1,\ldots,\, ds_m) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for the disentangling of the exponential function defined by $e^{z_0}e^{z_1+\cdots+z_n}$, that is we are taking our function g to be $g(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = e^{z_1+\cdots+z_n}$. The disentangling displayed above in equation (20) is that derived in the paper [1] and can also be found in [11].

While the expression seen in equation (19) is the disentangling series for the function $f(A_1,\ldots,A_n)$, we will not find it useful in obtaining our integral equation. Indeed, in order to use the evolution equation from [1] (see (29) below) , we need the presence of the exponential function (20) in our disentangling. To change the form of our disentangling so that we can use the evolution equation, we first re-express the the power series coefficients using the Cauchy Integral Theorem and then slightly rewrite the operator functions to accommodate the use of the integral theorem. Using the integral form of $k!$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we arrive at equation (22). This is equivalent to the disentangling seen in equation (19) but it now contains the exponential function to which we will apply the aforementioned evolution equation. It is essentially equation (22) that we will work with.

As mentioned above, the first step in rewriting the disentangling $f^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}$ in terms of the exponential function begins with the Cauchy Integral Theorem. Indeed, we can use the Cauchy Theorem for derivatives to write the coefficients in the power series (17) as

$$g_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-m_n-1}d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n. \tag{21}$$

Now, replace each A_j with $\frac{u_j}{\xi_j} A_j(\cdot)$. Then, for $u_j \in [0,\infty)$ and $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ we obtain, provided we are able to interchange the order of summation and integration as needed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(22)\quad & f^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot),\ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)) = \\
& \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n}\int_{[0,\infty)^n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}e^{-u_1}\cdots e^{-u_n}\cdot \\
& \quad \exp^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\left(-t\alpha+\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_j}{\xi_j}\int_{[0,t]} A_j(s)\,\mu_j(ds)\right) du_1\cdots du_n d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, we have used the fact that

$$
k! = \int_{[0,\infty)} u^k e^{-u} du
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for $m_1,\ldots,\, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
m_1!\cdots m_n! = \int_{[0,\infty)^n} u_1^{m_1}\cdots u_n^{m_n} e^{-u_1}\cdots e^{-u_n} du_1\cdots du_n.
$$

Also, the presence of the factor $\frac{u_j}{\xi_j}$ with the operator A_j in the exponential supplies the factor $u_j^{m_j}$ we need to obtain the necessary factorials in (22). The ξ_j in the denominator enables us to obtain the factors $\xi_j^{-m_j-1}$ that are needed to give the power series coefficients.

We now verify that the above-mentioned interchanges of integration and summation are valid. We use the vector–valued version of the standard theorem from analysis that states that if a sequence $\{g_n\}$ of functions is such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_\Omega |g_n| d\mu \in L^1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n \in L^1$ (see, for example, Corollary 12.33 of [3]). First note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(23) \\
&\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty}\ \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}} \left\{\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-m_n-1}\right. \\
&\left. u_1^{m_1}\cdots u_n^{m_n}e^{-u_1}\cdots e^{-u_n}du_1\cdots du_n d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n\right\}\int_{(\Delta^t_{m_1}\times\cdots\times\Delta^t_{m_n})(\pi)} e^{-(t-s_{\pi(m)})\alpha} \\
&\qquad C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)})\cdots C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})e^{-s_{\pi(1)}\alpha}\left(\mu_1^{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_n^{m_n}\right)(ds_1,\ldots,\, ds_m),
\end{aligned}
$$

being the disentangling series for $f\left(A_1(\cdot),\ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)\right)$, converges absolutely in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ([11] or [1]). It is clear that the scalar functions being summed/integrated are continuous. The finite sum of integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
(24)\quad L_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} := \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}} \int_{(\Delta^t_{m_1}\times\cdots\times\Delta^t_{m_n})(\pi)} & e^{-(t-s_{\pi(m)})\alpha}C_{\pi(m)}(s_{\pi(m)})\cdots \\
& C_{\pi(1)}(s_{\pi(1)})e^{-s_{\pi(1)}\alpha}\left(\mu_1^{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mu_n^{m_n}\right)(ds_1,\ldots,\, ds_m)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ for $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$. For fixed nonnegative integers $m_1, \ldots, m_n$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(25)\quad & \int_{|\xi_1|=r_1} \cdots \int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} \int_{[0,\infty)^n} g(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1} \cdots \xi_n^{-m_n-1} u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n} \cdot \\
& \qquad e^{-u_1} \cdots e^{-u_n} du_1 \cdots du_n d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n L_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \int_{|\xi_1|=r_1} \cdots \int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1} \cdots \xi_n^{-m_n-1} u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n} \cdot \\
& \qquad e^{-u_1} \cdots e^{-u_n} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n du_1 \cdots du_n L_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \int_{|\xi_1|=r_1} \cdots \int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1} \cdots \xi_n^{-m_n-1} u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n} \cdot \\
& \qquad\qquad e^{-u_1} \cdots e^{-u_n} L_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n du_1 \cdots du_n.
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality follows by virtue of the standard Fubini theorem. The second equality follows from the fact that for $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure and for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\int_a^b f(x)\, dx T\phi = \int_a^b f(x) T\phi\, dx = \int_a^b T(f(x)\phi)\, dx = T\left(\int_a^b f(x)\phi\, dx\right).
$$

The second, third, and fourth integrals just above are interpreted as Bochner integrals. Further, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(26)\quad & \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty} \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \int_{|\xi_1|=r_1} \cdots \int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1} \cdots \xi_n^{-m_n-1} u^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n} \cdot \\
& \qquad\qquad e^{-u_1} \cdots e^{-u_n} L_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n du_1 \cdots du_n
\end{aligned}
$$

is the disentangling series for f and so converges in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ - norm. Hence it follows that we are able to interchange the sum and the integrals above, as asserted.

We may therefore write the disentangling of f as shown in equation (22). This integral expression gives us the disentangled expression for the operator $f^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(-\alpha, A_1(\cdot), \ldots, A_n(\cdot))$ corresponding to the function

$$
f(z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n) = e^{z_0} g(z_1, \ldots, z_n).
$$

However, the utility of the integral expression (22) for the disentangling is not, for our purposes here, to further develop the operational calculi for functions of the form above. Instead we will modify the disentangling slightly in order to develop an integral equation for the operational calculi (in the modified form). The reason for this modification is, even though we've now written $f^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}$ with the disentangled exponential function, the presence of the factorial expression $m_1! \cdots m_n!$. These factorials would hinder the development of the appropriate integral equation as can clearly be seen when carrying out the calculations in equation (31). We therefore discard the factor $m_1! \cdots m_n!$ from our disentangling. This means, of course, omitting the integral over $[0, \infty)^n$ along with the associated portions of the integrand. Consequently our disentangling series is "reduced" in the sense that we are summing over the smaller set of permutations $\mathcal{P}_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}$. What will be referred to henceforth as the "reduced disentangling" is, then,

(27)

$$f^t_{(R);l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot),\ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\cdot$$
$$\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}\exp^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\left(-t\alpha+\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\xi_j}\int_{[0,\,t]}A_j(s)\,\mu_j(ds)\right)\,d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n.$$

Remark 1. From the structure of this expression it should be clear that it is a sum of time - ordered operator products and so it is, by definition, a disentangled expression in the sense of Feynman's rules. Indeed, this expression contains the fully disentangled exponential function.

The reason we wish to work with the reduced disentangling will become clear. From [1] or [11] we know that the disentangled exponential function

$$E^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n} := \exp^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\left(-t\alpha+\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\xi_j}\int_{[0,\,t]}A_j(s)\,\mu_j(ds)\right) \tag{28}$$

satisfies the evolution equation

$$E^t_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n} = e^{-t\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^n\int_{[0,\,t]}e^{-(t-s)\alpha}\frac{1}{\xi_j}A_j(s)E^s_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\mu_j(ds). \tag{29}$$

If we use this evolution equation in our reduced disentangling $f^t_{(R);l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}$, we obtain

(30)

$$f^t_{(R);l,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot),\ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\cdot$$
$$\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}\left\{e^{-t\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^n\int_{[0,\,t]}e^{-(t-s)\alpha}\frac{1}{\xi_j}A_j(s)E^s_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\mu_j(ds)\right\}\,d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n$$
$$=\left\{\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n\right\}e^{-t\alpha}$$
$$+\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_{j-1}^{-1}\xi_j^{-2}\xi_{j+1}^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}\cdot$$
$$\int_{[0,\,t]}e^{-(t-s)\alpha}A_j(s)E^s_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}\mu_j(ds)d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n$$
$$\overset{=}{(*)}\ g(0,\ldots,\,0)e^{-t\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^n\int_{[0,\,t]}e^{-(t-s)\alpha}A_j(s)\left\{\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n}\cdot\right.$$
$$\left.g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_{j-1}^{-1}\xi_j^{-2}\xi_{j+1}^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}E^s_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n\right\}\mu_j(ds).$$

(The inequality (*) above follows from the standard Fubini theorem.)

Now, the expression in the braces in the last two lines of equation (31) has the form of a reduced disentangling. In order to proceed we need to identify the function being disentangled. The key to this identification is contained in the integrals

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-1}\cdots\xi_{j-1}^{-1}\xi_j^{-2}\xi_{j+1}^{-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-1}d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n$$

for $j=1,\ldots,\,n$. When $E^s_{l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}$ is expanded in its disentangling series we obtain, for each j, the following integral which is then written as the corresponding derivative evaluated at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ using Cauchy's Integral Formula for derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}(31)\quad &\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{|\xi_1|=r_1}\cdots\int_{|\xi_n|=r_n} g(\xi_1,\ldots,\,\xi_n)\xi_1^{-m_1-1}\cdots\xi_{j-1}^{-m_{j-1}-1}\xi_j^{-m_j-2}\cdot\\ &\qquad \xi_{j+1}^{-m_{j+1}-1}\cdots\xi_n^{-m_n-1}d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n\\ &=\frac{\frac{\partial^{m_1+\cdots+m_{j-1}+(m_j+1)+m_{j+1}+\cdots+m_n}g}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}\partial z_j^{m_j+1}\partial z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}(0,\ldots,0)}{m_1!\cdots m_{j-1}!(m_j+1)!m_{j+1}!\cdots m_n!}\end{aligned}$$

In order to determine the scalar function giving the disentangling with the Taylor coefficients just above, we consider the corresponding power series for each $j=1,\ldots,n$:

$$\begin{aligned}(32)\quad &\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^{m_1+\cdots+m_{j-1}+(m_j+1)+m_{j+1}+\cdots+m_n}g}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}\partial z_j^{m_j+1}\partial z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}(0,\ldots,0)}{m_1!\cdots m_{j-1}!(m_j+1)!m_{j+1}!\cdots m_n!}\right)\cdot\\ &\qquad z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}z_j^{m_j}z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots z_n^{m_n}=\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_{j-1},m_{j+1},\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty}\cdot\\ &\left\{\frac{1}{z_j}\sum_{m_j=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m g(0,\ldots,0)}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}\partial z_j^{m_j}\partial z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}}{m_1!\cdots m_{j-1}!m_j!m_{j+1}!\cdots m_n!}\right)z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}z_j^{m_j}z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots z_n^{m_n}\right.\\ &\qquad\left.-\frac{1}{z_j}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^{m_1+\cdots+m_{j-1}+m_{j+1}+\cdots+m_n}g(0,\ldots0)}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}\partial z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}}{m_1!\cdots m_{j-1}!m_{j+1}!\cdots m_n!}\right)z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots z_n^{m_n}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&=\frac{1}{z_j}\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m g(0,\ldots,0)}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}}{m_1!\cdots m_n!}\right)z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_n^{m_n}-\\ &\qquad\frac{1}{z_j}\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_{j-1},m_{j+1},\ldots,m_n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^{m_1+\cdots+m_{j-1}+m_{j+1}+\cdots+m_n}g(0,\ldots,0)}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}\partial z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}}{m_1!\cdots m_{j-1}!m_{j+1}!\cdots m_n!}\right)\cdot\\ &z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_{j-1}^{m_{j-1}}z_{j+1}^{m_{j+1}}\cdots z_n^{m_n}=\frac{1}{z_j}\left(g(z_1,\ldots,\,z_n)-g(z_1,\ldots,z_{j-1},\,0,\,z_{j+1},\ldots,\,z_n)\right)\end{aligned}$$

With this done, we may now record our integral equation:

Theorem 3.1. Under the hypotheses stated at the beginning of the section, the reduced disentangling $f^t_{(R);l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &f^t_{(R);l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot),\ldots,\, A_n(\cdot)) = g(0,\ldots,\,0)e^{-t\alpha}+ \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{[0,\,t]} e^{-(t-s)\alpha}A_j(s)\Phi^{j,\,s}_{(R);l;\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}(-\alpha,\, A_1(\cdot),\ldots,\, A_n(\cdot))\,\mu_j(ds) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^j(z_0,\, z_1,\ldots,\, z_n) &= \frac{e^{z_0}}{z_j}\left(g(z_1,\ldots,\, z_n) - g(z_1,\ldots,\, z_{j-1}, 0,\, z_{j+1},\ldots,\, z_n)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{z_j}\left(f(z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_n) - f(z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_{j-1},0,z_{j+1},\ldots,z_n)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

for $j = 1,\ldots,n$.

4. Examples

In order to investigate the integral equation above, we consider some examples. Before proceeding to our examples, however, we define the mixed norm space $L_{\infty 1;l}$. We say that $V \in L_{\infty 1;\eta}([0,\,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ if

$$\|V\|_{\infty 1;\eta} := \int_{[0,\,T]} \|V(s,\cdot)\|_\infty \eta(ds)$$

where $\eta \in M([0,\,T])$. Given $V \in L_{\infty 1;l}([0,\,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ (l is Lebesgue measure) it follows that M_V, the operator of multiplication by V, is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for Lebesgue almost every $s\in[0,\,T]$. The norm of M_V is $\|M_V\| = \|V(s,\cdot)\|_\infty$. (On can find a discussion of the mixed norm space in [11].)

We now proceed with our first example. Let A_1 be the operator of multiplication by $V:[0,\,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$, $V\in L_{\infty 1;l}$. Take $\alpha = H_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta$. Define

$$f(z_0,\, z_1) = e^{z_0}\left(z_1 g_1(z_1) + g_1(0)\right) \tag{35}$$

for $g_1(z_1)$ analytic on the disk $D(0, \|V\|_{\infty 1;l})$ and continuous on its boundary. (Here we are replacing the function $g(z)$ above with the function $z_1g_1(z_1)+g_1(0)$.) It is well known that, for $t>0$ and $\psi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\left(e^{-tH_0}\psi\right)(x) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi(u)\exp\left(\frac{-\|x-u\|^2}{2t}\right)du. \tag{36}$$

To proceed with our calculations we write the Taylor series for g_1:

$$g_1(z_1) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m z_1^m. \tag{37}$$

To do the calculation on the right hand side of the integral equation (33), we first note that here $n=1$ and associating Lebesgue measure to A_1, the operator of multiplication by V, we have

$$f^t_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, V) = g_1(0)e^{-tH_0} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)H_0}V(s)\Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,\, V)\,ds \tag{38}$$

for our integral equation. From the definition of Φ^j we have, using $z_1 g_1(z_1) + g_1(0)$ in place of $g(z_1)$,

$$\Phi^1(z_0,\, z_1) = e^{z_0} \cdot \frac{1}{z_1}(z_1 g_1(z_1) + g_1(0) - g_1(0)) = e^{z_0} g_1(z_1). \tag{39}$$

Hence the reduced disentangling $\Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,\, V)$ is that of the function $e^{z_0} g_1(z_1)$ for $z_0 = -H_0$ and $z_1 = V$. Using the power series for g_1 we have, given $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, V)\psi)(x) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \int_{\Delta_m(s)} \left(e^{-(s-t_m)H_0} V(t_m) \cdots V(t_1) e^{-t_1 H_0} \psi \right)(x)\, dt_1 \cdots dt_m \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \int_{\Delta_m(s)} \left((2\pi)^{m+1} (s - t_m) \cdots (t_2 - t_1) t_1 \right)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m+1)d}} V(x_m, t_m) \cdot \\
&\quad V(x_{m-1}, t_{m-1}) \cdots V(x_2, t_2) V(x_1, t_1) \psi(x_0) \exp\left[- \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{\|x_j - x_{j-1}\|^2}{2(t_j - t_{j-1})} \right] \cdot \\
&\qquad dx_0 dx_1 \cdots dx_{m-1} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_m
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $x_{m+1} = x$ and $t_{m+1} = s$ in the sum inside the exponential above. We now calculate

$$e^{-(t-s)H_0} V(s) \Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, V)\psi.$$

Using continuity of the semigroup we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(e^{-(t-s)H_0} V(s) \Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, V)\psi \right)(x) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \int_{\Delta_m(s)} \left((2\pi)^{m+2} (t - s)(s - t_m)(t_m - t_{m-1}) \cdots (t_2 - t_1) t_1 \right)^{-d/2} \cdot \\
&\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m+2)d}} V(x_{m+1},\, t_{m+1}) V(x_m,\, t_m) \cdots V(x_2,\, t_2) V(x_1,\, t_1) \psi(x_0) \cdot \\
&\quad \exp\left[- \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \frac{\|x_j - x_{j-1}\|^2}{2(t_j - t_{j-1})} \right] dx_0 dx_1 \cdots dx_m dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_m
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where $x_{m+2} = x$, $t_{m+2} = t$ and $t_{m+1} = s$. For any $y \in C_0^t$, the classical Wiener space of paths, define $G : C_0^t \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$G(y) := V(y(t_1), t_1) \cdots V(y(t_{m+1}), t_{m+1}) \psi(y(t)). \tag{42}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_0^t} G(y + x)\, m(dy) = \\
&\left((2\pi)^{m+2} (t - s)(s - t_m) \cdots (t_2 - t_1) t_1 \right)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m+2)d}} V(x_{m+1}, s) V(x_m, t_m) \\
&\cdots V(x_2, t_2) V(x_1, t_1) \psi(x_0) \exp\left[- \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \frac{\|x_j - x_{j-1}\|^2}{2(t_j - t_{j-1})} \right] dx_0 \cdots dx_m dt_1 \cdots dt_m
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where m is Wiener measure on C_0^t. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)H_0}V(s)\Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,V)\,ds = \\ &\int_0^t \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \int_{\Delta_m(s)} \int_{C_0^t} G(y+x)\,m(dy)dt_1\cdots dt_m ds = \\ &\int_0^t \int_{C_0^t} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \int_{\Delta_m(s)} G(y+x)\,dt_1\cdots dt_m \right) m(dy)ds\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where the last equality follows from the dominated convergence theorem and Fubini's theorem. Finally, we may write the sum above in a different way using the definition of G. In particular, all of the terms in the product defining G commute and we may write the integral of G over $\Delta_m(s)$ as

$$\frac{1}{m!}\left(\int_0^s V(y(u)+x,u)\,du\right)^m V(y(s)+x,s)\psi(y(t)+x). \tag{45}$$

Hence, for Lebesgue almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)H_0}V(s)\Phi^{1,s}_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,V)\,ds = \\ &\int_0^t \int_{C_0^t} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m!} \left(\int_0^s V(y(u)+x,u)\,du\right)^m \right) V(y(s)+x,s)\psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy)ds\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

and our integral equation is, in this setting,

$$\begin{aligned}&f^t_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,V)\psi(x) = g_1(0)e^{-tH_0}\psi(x)+ \\ &\int_0^t \int_{C_0^t} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m!} \left[\int_0^s V(y(u)+x,u)\,du\right]^m \right) V(y(s)+x,s)\psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

If $V:\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a time independent potential with $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the previous calculations go through in exactly the same was as above and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&f^t_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,V)\psi(x) = g_1(0)e^{-tH_0}\psi(x)+ \\ &\int_0^t \int_{C_0^t} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m!} \left[\int_0^s V(y(u)+x)\,du\right]^m \right) V(y(s)+x)\psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

With this equation in hand, we are now in a position where we can investigate some examples by making a specific choice for the function g_1.

We will start in the time independent setting, taking $g_1(z_1) = \frac{1}{1-z_1}$ and will assume here that $\|V\|_\infty < 1$. As is well known, we may write

$$g_1(z_1) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z_1^m.$$

for $|z_1| < 1$. We replace V with $-V$ and obtain

$$f^t_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, -V)\psi(x) = e^{-tH_0}\psi(x) - \int_0^t \int_{C_0^t} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \left(\int_0^s V(y(u)+x)\,du \right)^m \right) V(y(s)+x)\psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy)ds \tag{49}$$

where it is clear that $a_m = 1$ and $g_1(0) = 1$. Fubini's theorem enables us to write this equation as

$$\begin{aligned} & f^t_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, -V)\psi(x) = e^{-tH_0}\psi(x) - \\ & \int_{C_0^t} \int_0^t \exp\left[-\int_0^s V(y(u)+x)\,du \right] V(y(s)+x)\psi(y(t)+x)\,ds\,m(dy) \\ & = e^{-tH_0}\psi(x) - \int_{C_0^t} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\int_0^t V(y(u)+x)\,du \right) \right) \psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy) \\ & = e^{-tH_0}\psi(x) - \int_{C_0^t} \psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy) + \int_{C_0^t} \exp\left(-\int_0^t V(y(u)+x)\,du \right) \cdot \\ & \psi(y(t)+x)\,m(dy) \\ & = e^{-tH_0}\psi(x) - e^{-tH_0}\psi(x) + e^{-t(H_0+V)}\psi(x) = e^{-t(H_0+V)}\psi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where we've applied the Feynman-Kac formula (see, for example, [11], Chapter 12) to the third term after the third equality above and the well known Wiener integral representation of the heat semigroup to the second term after the third equality. Hence we see that the reduced disentangling developed above supplies solutions to the heat equation in this setting, for Lebesgue almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We next make a connection between the reduced disentangling and various types of analytic Feynman integrals. We will stay in the setting of the calculation immediately above, i.e. $g_1(z_1) = \frac{1}{1-z_1}$ and $\|V\|_\infty < 1$.

Remark 2. We briefly note that the example here involving H_0 and V should also work with H_0 replaced by any operator α such that $-\alpha$ generates a C_0 semigroup and V replaced by any bounded linear operator A with uniform norm $\|A\| < 1$. The proof would not appeal to Wiener measure.

To begin, we recall the definition of the analytic in time Feynman integral $J^t(F)$ given on page 299 (Definition 13.2.1) of [11]:

Definition 3. Given $t > 0$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the expression

$$(J^t(F)\psi)(\xi) = \int_{C_0^t} F(x+\xi)\psi(x(t)+\xi)\,m(dx).$$

The operator-valued function space integral $J^t(F)$ exists if and only if the Wiener integral above defines $J^t(F)$ as an element of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. If $J^t(F)$ exists for every $t > 0$ and, in addition, has an extension (necessarily unique) as a function of t to an operator-valued analytic function on $\mathbb{C}_+$ (the set of complex numbers with positive real part) and a strongly continuous function on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}_+$, we say that $J^t(F)$ exists for all $t \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}_+$. When t is purely imaginary, $J^t(F)$ is called *the analytic in time operator-valued Feynman integral.*

In particular we will consider $J^t(F_V)$ where F_V is given by

$$F_V(y) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t V(y(s))\,ds\right) \tag{51}$$

for $y \in C_0^t$. Indeed, as seen in Remark 13.2.2 on page 299 of [11],

$$e^{-t(H_0+V)} = J^t(F_V). \tag{52}$$

Hence we see that

$$\left[f_{(R);l;l}(-H_0,-V)\right]\psi(x) = J^t(F_V). \tag{53}$$

Also, as is shown in Theorem 13.3.1 of [11],

$$J^{it}(F_V) = e^{-it(H_0+V)}. \tag{54}$$

(So $J^t(F_V)$ is a solution to the heat equation for real t and a solution to the Schrodinger equation for imaginary t.) Moreover, if we replace $-H_0$ with $-iH_0$ and $-V$ with $-iV$ in the reduced disentangling $f^t_{(R);l;l}$ that we calculated above, we obtain, with $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\left[f^t_{(R);l;l}(-iH_0,-iV)\right]\psi(x) = e^{-it(H_0+V)}\psi(x) \tag{55}$$

where the integrals appearing in the calculation must now be interpreted in the mean. Hence, for $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\left[f^t_{(R);l;l}(-iH_0,-iV)\right]\psi(x) = e^{-it(H_0+V)}\psi(x) = J^{it}(F_V)\psi(x). \tag{56}$$

It should be noted that if $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the integrals in the reduced disentangling as well as the integrals in J do not have to be interpreted in the mean.

Remark 4.1. The class of potentials considered in Chapter 13 of [11] is quite large but does, of course, include the type of potential we are considering in this paper.

We now move on to the setting where the potential V is time dependent. In this case we will make use of the mixed norm space $L_{\infty1;l}$. We also need to recall the following definition (Definition 15.2.1 on page 410 of [11]) for the analytic (in mass) operator-valued Feynman integral.

Definition 4. Fix $t > 0$. Let F be a function from C_0^t to $\mathbb{C}$. Given $\lambda > 0$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the expression

$$(K^t_\lambda(F)\psi)(\xi) = \int_{C_0^t} F(\lambda^{-1/2}x+\xi)\psi(\lambda^{-1/2}x(t)+\xi)\,m(dx).$$

The *operator-valued function space integral* $K^t_\lambda(F)$ *exists for* $\lambda > 0$ if the integral above defines $K^t_\lambda(F)$ as an element of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. If, in addition, $K^t_\lambda(F)$, as a function of λ, has an extension (necessarily unique) to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and a strongly continuous function on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}_+\setminus\{0\}$, we say that $K^t_\lambda(F)$ exists for $\lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}_+\setminus\{0\}$. When λ is purely imaginary, $K^t_\lambda(F)$ is called the *analytic (in mass) operator-valued Feynman integral of* F.

For $y \in C_0^t$ and $V \in L_{\infty1;l}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define (see equation (48))

$$F_V(y) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}a_m}{(m+1)!}\left(\int_0^t V(s,y(s))\,ds\right)^m. \tag{57}$$

That is, for

$$G(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} a_m}{(m+1)!} z^{m+1}$$

with radius of convergence strictly greater than $\|V\|_{\infty 1;l}$ we have

$$F_V(y) = G\left(\int_0^t V(s, y(s))\, ds \right)$$

and it follows that

$$\int_{C_0^t} F_V(y+x) \psi(y(t)+x)\, m(dy)$$

exists and is equal to $K_1^t(F_V)\psi$. Recalling that $g_1(z_1) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m z_1^m$, we can write

$$\left[f^t_{(R);l;l}(-H_0, -V) \right] \psi(x) = g_1(0) \left(e^{-tH_0} \right) \psi(x) - K_1^t(F_V)\psi(x). \tag{58}$$

Remark 3. We have taken g_1 to be analytic in $|z_1| < \|V\|_{\infty 1;l}$ and such that its series converges on $|z_1| = \|V\|_{\infty 1;l}$. Hence, for the series defining $G(z)$ the radius of convergence is infinite, as $\lim_{m \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_m}{a_{m+1}} \right| = constant$ as the series for g_1 has a finite radius of convergence.

References

[1] DeFacio, B., Johnson, G. W., and Lapidus, M. L.: Feynman's operational calculus and evolution equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **47** (1997), 155 - 211.

[2] Feynman, R. P.: An operator calculus having applications in quantum electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **84** (1951), 108 - 128.

[3] Hewitt, E. and Stromberg, K.: *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer - Verlag, 1965.

[4] Jefferies, B., Johnson, G. W.: Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: definitions and elementary properties, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **8** (2001), 153 - 171.

[5] Jefferies, B., Johnson, G. W.: Feynman's operational calculi for families of noncommuting operators: tensor products, ordered supports, and the disentangling of an exponential factor, *Mat. Zametki* **70** (2001), 815 - 838.

[6] Jefferies, B., Johnson, G. W.: Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: the monogenic calculus, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **11** (2001), 239 - 264.

[7] Jefferies, B., Johnson, G. W.: Feynman's operational calculi for noncommuting operators: spectral theory, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **5** (2002), 171 - 199.

[8] Jefferies, B., Johnson, G. W., and Nielsen, L.: Feynman's operational calculi for time dependent noncommuting operators, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **38** (2001), 193 - 226.

[9] Johnson, G. W., Nielsen, L.: A stability theorem for Feynman's operational calculus, *Stochastic processes, physics and geometry: new interplays, II (Leipzig, 1999)*, CMS Conf. Proc. **29**, AMS, 2000, 351 - 365.

[10] Johnson, G. W., Nielsen, L.: Feynman's operational calculi: blending instantaneous and continuous phenomena in Feynman's operational calculi, *Stochastic analysis and mathematical physics (SAMP/ANESTOC 2002)*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2004, 229 - 254.

[11] Johnson, G. W., Lapidus, M. L.: *The Feynman Integral and Feynman's Operational Calculus*, Oxford U. Press, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 2000. [In paperback: Oxford U. Press, 2002.]

[12] Maslov, V. P.: *Operational Methods,* English transl. (rev. from the 1973 Russian ed.), Mir, Moscow, 1976.

[13] Nazaikinskii, V. E., Shatalov, V. E., and Sternin, B. Yu: *Methods of Noncommutative Analysis: Theory and Applications,* De Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[14] Nielsen, L.: Stability properties of Feynman's operational calculus, Ph.D. Dissertation, Mathematics, University of Nebraska Lincoln, 1999.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Creighton University, Omaha, NE 68178
E-mail address: `lnielsen@creighton.edu`